

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: T. Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on

Julia A. Glaze .783

Names of Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

Françis James Rourke et al.

SERIAL NO.: 09/188,442

FILED: November 9, 1998

FOR: Disposable Premoistened Wipe Containing An Antimicrobial

Protease Inhibitor

P&G CASE: 7334

GROUP ART UNIT: 1771

EXAMINER: N.L. TORRES-VELAZQUEZ

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED FEBRUARY 17, 2000

The Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

REMARKS

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Examiner's finding of allowable subject matter in Claims 4, 6, 13, and 14.

Election:

The Examiner indicates that election is required in the instant application between Claims 1

- 16 (product) and Claims 17-20 (method of use). Applicants elect with traverse product Claims 1
- 16. The Examiner has based the restriction requirement on the supposition that the premoistened wipe of the instant invention can be used in a materially different process. The example the Examiner provides is the use of the premoistened wipe as a transdermal delivery system.

Applicants respectfully disagree. A transdermal delivery system requires percutaneous or systemic delivery (i.e.; requires a material to be absorbed into the bloodstream). This is totally the opposite purpose of the present invention. The purpose of the present invention is to solve a problem which occurs topically on the skin (i.e.; deliver a topical benefit to the skin in order to prevent the formation of diaper dermatitis on the skin). [See Instant Application page 2, lines 12 -28] This purpose is accomplished via topical delivery to the skin and not via absorption into the bloodstream.